

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10/057,458Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) Y. Lee Date 6/12/02TO: Art Unit 2132 Class/sub 380/200 FROM: A.U. 2613 Class 375

REASON:

you had parent

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Hand carried: Personally accepted by _____

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: _____ A.U. _____ Date _____

 ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU _____

REASON:

Other parent ~ R 2667

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

 This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifier Date
Concurring _____ Date _____ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper Concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____

Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Date _____

Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub

REASON:

Panel Member _____ Concurring Panel Member _____

 This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.

Staple to face of Application
(Rev. 7-98)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR

10 | 057,458

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) 6 BarronDate: 6/12/02TO: Art Unit 2663 Class/Sub 273/443? FROM: A.U. 2131 Class 380

REASON:

This case cites two parents 09/951,671 (has same title as this case). This case claims no encryption of video for 180

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Hand carried: Personally accepted by _____

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: _____ A.U. _____ Date _____

 ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU _____

REASON:

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ Post Classifier _____ Date _____
 Concurring _____ Date _____

 This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper Concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____

Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Date _____

Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit _____ Class/Sub _____

REASON:

Panel Member _____ Concurring Panel Member _____

 This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.